United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,263	02/04/2004	Takayuki Shimada	829-620	1391
	7590 09/28/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	CHIEN, LUCY P		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/771,263	SHIMADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LUCY P. CHIEN	2871	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 04 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affiday application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed periods:	vit, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ng date of the final rejection. IE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate for the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing damay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	t of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 AMENDMENTS	to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NC (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially real appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally re NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, non-allowable claim(s). 	, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	vill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Necause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidations are not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after executed REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application Applicant's arguments that it is unreasonable to equalize the planarization layers of Noda a specific dielectric range is not persuasive. The planarization film of Noda is considered a conductive. Please refer to the arguments made in the final office action mailed on 6/9/200	with the claimed insulating layer having an insulator because it is inon 09
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>8/20/20</u> 13. ☐ Other:	009
/David Nelms/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2871	